

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 93 Case file # 91-140060

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Guilty plea, no transcript available.

---

---

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 2:45 PM (Time), 11/13/00 (Date)

File #: 91-140060

Laboratory #(s): 90605019  
91030025  
91117036

## Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>                    </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>                    </u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: \_\_\_\_\_

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: 1

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 90605019 Date: July 26, 1989  
Laboratory Number: 91030025, 91117036 Date: April 18, 1990  
Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ

Laboratory Number: 90605019  
91030025  
91117036

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SRW

Was any other material reviewed?  Yes  No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Letters from submitting agency dated 5/18/89, 10/10/89  
and 10/20/89

### Results of Review

File #: 91-140060 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q2, K1, K2

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- Transcript not available.
- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
  - 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
  - 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 91-140060

#1. It cannot be determined from the documentation if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil and not ink and use abbreviations that are difficult to interpret (such as "M-dk dist, lt indist, lt dist" and "cut-ssp" to describe some of the characteristics of the hair).

The technician's notes do not indicate the recovery of any hair from Q2.

Apparently, a confirmation of the hair match was made by a second examiner (ZJ). The qualifications of ZJ are not known.

Review completed at: 3:15 PM (Time), 11/13/2000 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.50 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts  
(Signature)

11-13-2000  
(Date)